



## 4.1.9 SII Method for Assessment Reporting

by Jack Wasserman (Mechanical Engineering, University of Tennessee at Knoxville) and Steven W. Beyerlein (Mechanical Engineering, University of Idaho)

Assessment results are most likely to be put into action by an assessee when they are concisely stated, supported by evidence, and delivered in a positive manner. This module outlines a format for informal assessment reports that meets these needs. Known as the SII method, it includes a thoughtful description of assessee strengths, areas for improvement, and insights that can be transferred to other contexts. The SII method is assessee-centered in its language, specific in its use of data from a specific learning context, and enlightening in its recommendations for future action.

### The Role of Self-Assessment

Psychological studies of highly successful people across all domains of intelligence—linguistic, musical, mathematical, scientific, interpersonal, kinesthetic, intrapersonal, and spiritual—reveal that these extraordinary individuals share three behaviors that are the source of sustained personal growth (Gardner, 1998).

- These individuals stand out in the extent to which they reflect, often explicitly, on the events of their lives
- These individuals stand out less by their impressive “raw powers” than by their ability to identify and then exploit their strengths
- These individuals fail often and sometimes dramatically, but they stand out in the extent to which they learn from their setbacks and convert defeats into opportunities

Extraordinary individuals, therefore, possess a strong internal process of thinking about their circumstances, their performance capabilities, and their opportunities for effecting change. The SII method strives to make these attributes explicit in the dialogue between assessor and assessee. It embodies several characteristics known to improve critical thinking, including positiveness, process-orientation, a recognition of contextual details, and the role of emotion as well as reason in human behavior (Brookfield, 1987).

### Organization of the SII Report

While the assessee is performing, the assessor must collect information consistent with the chosen criteria (*4.1.4 Assessment Methodology*). It is important for the assessor to note the strong points of the assessee’s performance (things done well) and why they were considered strong; the areas in which the assessee’s performance could be improved, along with suggestions for how the improvement could be made; and any insights that might help the assessee in other contexts. The SII format provides a succinct way to communicate these findings in a cooperative learning environment.

**Strengths**—identify the ways in which a performance was of high quality and commendable. Each strength statement should address what was valuable in the performance, why this attribute is important, and how to reproduce this aspect of the performance.

**Areas for Improvement**—identify the changes that can be made in the future, between this assessment and the next assessment, that are likely to improve performance. Improvements should recognize the issues that caused any problems and mention how changes could be implemented to resolve these difficulties.

**Insights**—identify new and significant discoveries/understandings that were gained concerning the performance area; i.e., What did the assessor learn that others might benefit from hearing or knowing? Insights include why a discovery/new understanding is important or significant and how it can be applied to other situations.

These statements should be delivered in the order given above first to affirm the assessee and then to apprise him or her of opportunities for additional growth. An assessor should take care to cast these statements in a succinct manner and avoid using judgmental language. As a matter of convenience in written SII reports, each statement can be identified with the appropriate letter (S or I).

### Rubric for Elevating SII Reports

The following rubric has been developed to help students visualize different levels of assessment quality and to rate the sophistication of their SII reports. As assessments move up the scale, there is a discernible shift from assessing effort to meaningfully assessing performance.

#### Level 1—Observation

Strengths and areas for improvement are presented as simple statements. The following statements are typical of this level:

- (S) The presenter was energetic
- (I) The introduction was too long
- (I) The score was not the only goal

## Level II—Comprehension of Key Issues

Strengths and improvements are clearly stated, and reasons are given for the strengths and suggestions for improvement. Insights tend to be related to the specific context of the assessment. The following statements are typical of this level:

- (S) The enthusiasm of the presenter inspired the audience to ask many questions
- (I) Much of the material in the introduction was secondary to the purpose of the talk
- (I) The team kept the problem statement in mind, not just the score

## Level III—Application in a Related Context

This feedback builds on comprehension of key issues and gives specific ideas for improving performance in a related context. The following statements are typical of this level:

- (S) Taking time to practice your presentation can help you deliver your message in a confident and convincing tone
- (I) The introduction should highlight a single hypothesis and explain why it is justified
- (I) By focusing on the goal of good technical communication, rather than focusing simply on the score, the team reminded everyone about the educational objective of the project

## Level IV—Transfer to a New Context

This feedback illustrates generalized understanding and is instructive in applying this understanding across a broad range of contexts. The following statements are typical of this level:

- (S) Researching the background of your audience can help you stimulate interest in and attention to your message
- (I) Section divisions appear to be seamless in a carefully planned and practiced presentation
- (I) By communicating your interpretation of the underlying purpose of an activity, you help everyone assess whether they could have learned more from the activity

## Implementing SII Reports

SII reports represent a powerful formative assessment tool that can be used with a great deal of flexibility in the classroom. The following techniques have proven successful in elevating and adding variety to SII reports.

**Prioritize findings**—Students share only the greatest strength, the greatest area for improvement, and the best insight. This encourages participants to rank the significance of their observations and to defend their thinking.

**Limit response time**—This is especially valuable for sharing oral assessment reports from multiple teams. Challenge participants to limit SII reports (all three parts) to less than 30 seconds.

**Build common understanding**—Participants are asked to rephrase what they hear in others' SII reports. This process can help clarify muddy ideas as well as emphasize important discoveries.

**Focus attention**—The instruction directs attention to a narrow set of learning skills or performance criteria. Focusing the assessment helps to minimize motherhood-and-apple-pie statements; and instead connects the commentary with specific behaviors.

**Rate performance on a scale**—As a reference for writing SII statements, the instructor provides several scales or rubrics for ranking performance in key areas. Assigning numerical scores can trigger recollection of supporting evidence that adds more specificity to a written SII report.

**Collective feedback**—At the end of a reporting session (oral or written), the instructor may use the SII format to comment on the entire spectrum of reports. This serves to reiterate key findings and to establish performance expectations for future reporting sessions.

## Concluding Thoughts

One of the driving forces for change in higher education is the need to develop students who are lifelong learners who can adapt to the ever-and-rapidly-changing world around us (Brookfield, 1987). Quality self-assessment provides a solid foundation for such self-growth (Gardner, 1998). By giving and receiving SII reports, learners at any level in the curriculum gain the practice and experience they need to become quality self-assessors and self-growers. SII reports support an assessment culture in which students are motivated to perform better and proactively seek to improve their own performance.

## References

- Brookfield, S. (1987). *Developing critical thinkers: Challenging adults to explore alternative ways of thinking and acting*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Gardner, H. (1998). *Extraordinary minds*. New York: Basic Books.